

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/076,566	02/19/2002	Yumiko Seki	500.41209X00	500.41209X00 1290	
24956	7590 10/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
	LY, STANGER, MAL NAL ROAD	ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN			
SUITE 370	NAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		2165		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)② Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☑ All b)□ Some * ○□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Neveen Abel-Jalil 2165			10/076,5	566 _.	SEKI ET AL.					
— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eleterions of time may be available under the provisions of 30 FR 11360, he oeven, tower, may reply be timely filled the SEX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and severe the application become ABMONDER(S) (5) US.O. 5, 133). Any reply resolved by the Office lise than these mosths after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any surrely peached by the Office lise than them mosths after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any surrely peached by the Office lise than them mosths after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any surrely peached by the Office lise than them mosths after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any surrely peached by the Office lise of the TAMON. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication (s) filled on 28 July 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is are objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.25(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The		Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estimations of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or owent, however, may a raply be limitely filled in the communication of 17 CFR 1.136(a). In or owent, however, may a raply be limitely filled from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply width he set or outsided period for reply will, by shalled, causes the application to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office and then there are mains after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any variety of the communication of the communication of the communication. The set of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any variety of the communication of the communication of the communication. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The greeification is objected to by the Examiner. Application papers 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) control of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing shee(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or f			Neveen /	Abel-Jalil	2165					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time raply a securities of a 27 CFR 1.13(e). In cervent, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MCNTTS from the mailing date of this communication. If the prend to reply is specified above, the maximum saletory period, will apply and well actinis SX (6) MCNTTS from the mailing date of this communication. If the prend to reply is specified above, the maximum saletory period will apply and well actinis SX (6) MCNTTS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply reselved by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searced patient them adjustment. Sea 27 CFR 1.704(e). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2c) This action is Final. 2c) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(e). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The order of the objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receiv			ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)② Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☑ All b)□ Some * ○)□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are eljected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to nestriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The provided for a subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 <i>July 2005</i></u> .							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) 🔲	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not reduct in is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * 0) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Institutional Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to pestriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the a	application.							
6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from c	onsideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•		otion and/or alaction	raquirament						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restric	Mon and/or election	requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicati	on Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,	•								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Office	e Action or form P1O-152.					
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	•									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	u) ₁	,—								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)										
Attachment(s)										
					(PTO 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date										
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) X Infor									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/05. 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office				6) [_] Other:						

Art Unit: 2165

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 28-July-2005 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed on 28-July-2005 has been received and entered. Claims 1-12 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, line 2, the recitation of "predetermined format by user" is vague and unclear since it is further processed to state, "by checking"... to imply an automatic process. It is unclear to the Examiner whether the editing of the retrieval results is done manual or by the computer.

Claims 4 and 6 are dependent on dependent claim 3 and therefore carry the same deficiency.

Art Unit: 2165

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Premerlani et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,555,367).

As to claim 1, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses a retrieval device in a system having computers and databases connected to one another, comprising:

a retrieval reservation registering unit, said retrieval reservation registering unit registering retrieval requests issued by users (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 2, lines 37-46);

a duplicate integrating unit, said duplicate integrating unit combining duplicates requested in differing said retrieval requests in accordance with pre-stored rules to generate an integrated retrieval requests (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 3-23) to lessen a number of retrieval request (Intended use therefore not given patentable weight);

a retrieval device unit, said retrieval device unit retrieving information from the databases on the basis of contents of said integrated retrieval request integration said retrieval requests to obtain retrieval results (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 24-40); and

Art Unit: 2165

an output creating unit, said output creating unit creating, on the basis of the retrieval requests issued by the users and said retrieval results, retrieval results data to be sent to said users when information is retrieved from said databases (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 2, lines 58-67).

As to claim 7, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses wherein said retrieval device unit acquires or sends retrieval results in accordance with said retrieval requests at predetermined intervals of time on the basis of predetermined information freshness (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 2, lines 37-46, wherein "predetermined information freshness" reads on "interactively").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-6, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Premerlani et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,555,367) in view of Himmel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,237,035 B1).

As to claim 2, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> does not teach wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions.

<u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses the claimed invention except for wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions.

<u>Himmel et al.</u> teaches wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions (See <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 8, lines 7-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions since it was known in the art that keeping tracked of all duplicate records and requests and eliminating them allows for database optimization and better performance.

As to claim 3, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> as modified discloses wherein said retrieval device unit edits said retrieval results data in a predetermined format by user by checking duplicates of the retrieval requests issued by users respectively and sends said edited retrieval results data to said users respectively on the basis of retrieval conditions desired by said users, said retrieval results data being acquired on the basis of said integrated retrieval conditions (See <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 8, lines 7-15, also see <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 1, lines 47-55, prior art).

As to claim 4, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> as modified discloses wherein upon editing of said retrieval results by user, said retrieval device unit eliminates duplicates from said retrieval results

Page 6

desired by each of said users and integrates said retrieval results by each of said users when there are said duplicates in contents of said retrieval results, so that said retrieval device unit sends edited said retrieval results data to said user (See Himmel et al. column 6, lines 1-15).

As to claim 5, Premerlani et al. as modified discloses comprising a method in which at least one previously retrieved result is held in said retrieval reservation registration registering unit, so that, when a user issues a retrieval request, said user selects on a basis of information freshness desired by the user to acquire a retrieval result from said held at least one previously retrieved result retrieved among a predetermined time interval or to execute new retrieval from original data (See Himmel et al. column 5, lines 44-67).

As to claim 6, Premerlani et al. as modified discloses wherein when there are duplicates in retrieval requests among users and said retrieval requests are integrated to thereby acquire said retrieval results, said retrieval device unit, makes on the basis of retrieval, copies of said retrieval result, integrates duplicates in said retrieval results by each of the users, and sends thus modified said retrieval results to said respective users issuing said requests for said retrieval results data (See Premerlani et al. column 5, lines 3-23).

As to claims 8-12, Premerlani et al. discloses a retrieval method in a system having computers and databases connected to one another, comprising:

registering retrieval requests issued by users (See Premerlani et al. column 2, lines 37-46);

Art Unit: 2165

integrating said retrieval requests in said retrieval requests (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 3-23);

retrieving said databases by using said integrated retrieval requests and acquiring retrieval results (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 24-40);

generating duplicates of retrieval results from said acquired retrieval results (See Premerlani et al. column 5, lines 3-23);

editing said duplicates of retrieval results on the basis of said retrieval requests (See Premerlani et al. column 5, lines 42-60); and

integrating said duplicates of retrieval results from said retrieval results to be sent to each of said users on the basis of similarity between contents of said retrieval results (See <u>Premerlani</u> et al. column 5, lines 3-23).

<u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses the claimed invention except for integrating said retrieval requests by eliminating duplicates in said retrieval requests.

<u>Himmel et al.</u> teaches integrating said retrieval requests by eliminating duplicates in said retrieval requests (See <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 8, lines 7-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrating said retrieval requests by eliminating duplicates in said retrieval requests since it was known in the art that keeping tracked of all duplicate records and requests and eliminating them allows for database optimization and better performance.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2165

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>James et al.</u> (WO 97/21177) teaches concurrent access to travel reservations system by multiple users tracking by timestamp.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5: 30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-freg).

/ JOHNNEY CAMPAN / AFFINSONY PATENT EXAMIN'IF